Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 24

Introduced by Assembly Member Chau

February 8, 2021

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 24—Relative to the California Law Revision Commission.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 24, as introduced, Chau. California Law Revision Commission: studies.

Existing law requires the California Law Revision Commission to study, and limits the commission to studying, topics approved by resolution of the Legislature or by statute.

This measure would grant approval to the commission to continue its study of designated topics that the Legislature previously authorized or directed the commission to study. The measure would also grant approval to the commission to add a specified topic to its calendar of topics for study.

The measure would require the commission, before commencing work on any project within its authorized calendar of topics, to submit a detailed description of the scope of work to the chairs and vice chairs of the Assembly Committee on Judiciary and the Senate Committee on Judiciary, and any other policy committee that has jurisdiction, as specified. If a major change to the scope of work occurs during the course of the project, the measure would require the commission to submit a description of the change.

Fiscal committee: yes.

1 WHEREAS. The California Law Revision Commission is 2 authorized to study topics set forth in the calendar contained in its 3 report to the Governor and the Legislature that have been or are 4 thereafter approved for study by concurrent resolution of the 5 Legislature, and topics that have been referred to the commission for study by concurrent resolution of the Legislature or by statute; 6 7 and 8 WHEREAS, The commission, in its annual report covering its 9 activities for 2019 and 2020, recommends continued study of 13 topics, all of which the Legislature has previously authorized or 10 directed the commission to study, and the addition of one new 11 12 topic of study; now, therefore, be it 13 *Resolved*, by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate 14 thereof concurring, That the Legislature approves for continued 15 study by the California Law Revision Commission the topics listed below, all of which the Legislature has previously authorized or 16 17 directed the commission to study: (1) Whether the law should be revised that relates to creditors' 18 remedies, including, but not limited to, attachment, garnishment, 19 execution, repossession of property (including the claim and 20 21 delivery statute, self-help repossession of property, and the 22 Commercial Code provisions on repossession of property),

confession of judgment procedures, default judgment procedures,
enforcement of judgments, the right of redemption, procedures
under private power of sale in a trust deed or mortgage, possessory

26 and nonpossessory liens, insolvency, and related matters.

(2) Whether the Probate Code should be revised, including, but
not limited to, the issue of whether California should adopt, in
whole or in part, the Uniform Probate Code, and related matters.

30 (3) Whether the law should be revised that relates to real and 31 personal property, including, but not limited to, a marketable title 32 act, covenants, servitudes, conditions, and restrictions on land use or relating to land, common interest developments, powers of 33 34 termination, escheat of property and the disposition of unclaimed 35 or abandoned property, eminent domain, quiet title actions, 36 abandonment or vacation of public streets and highways, partition, 37 rights and duties attendant on assignment, subletting, termination, 38 or abandonment of a lease, and related matters.

39 (4) Whether the law should be revised that relates to family law,40 including, but not limited to, community property, the adjudication

99

1 of child and family civil proceedings, child custody, adoption,

2 guardianship, freedom from parental custody and control, and

3 related matters, including other subjects covered by the Family4 Code.

5 (5) Whether the law relating to discovery in civil cases should 6 be revised.

(6) Whether the Evidence Code should be revised.

7

8 (7) Whether the law relating to arbitration, mediation, and other 9 alternative dispute resolution techniques should be revised.

10 (8) Whether there should be changes to administrative law.

(9) Recommendations to be reported pertaining to statutorychanges that may be necessitated by court unification.

(10) Whether the law of contracts should be revised, including
the law relating to the effect of electronic communications on the
law governing contract formation, the statute of frauds, the parol
evidence rule, and related matters.

(11) Whether the law governing the place of trial in a civil caseshould be revised.

(12) Whether the Fish and Game Code and related statutory law
should be revised to improve its organization, clarify its meaning,
resolve inconsistencies, eliminate unnecessary or obsolete
provisions, standardize terminology, clarify program authority and
funding sources, and make other minor improvements, without
making any significant substantive change to the effect of the law.

25 (13) The Legislature authorizes and requests that the California 26 Law Revision Commission study, report on, and prepare 27 recommended legislation to revise Chapter 6.5 (commencing with 28 Section 25100) and Chapter 6.8 (commencing with Section 25300) 29 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code, and related 30 provisions, to improve the organization and expression of the law. 31 Such revisions may include, but are not limited to, grouping similar 32 provisions together, reducing the length and complexity of sections, 33 eliminating obsolete or redundant provisions, and correcting 34 technical errors. The recommended revisions shall not make any 35 substantive changes to the law. The commission's report shall also 36 include a list of substantive issues that the commission identifies 37 in the course of its work, for possible future study; and be it further 38 Resolved, That the Legislature approves the addition of the topic 39 listed below to the calendar of topics authorized for study by the 40 California Law Revision Commission:

99

1 Whether the law should be revised to provide special rules that 2 would apply to an area affected by a state of disaster or emergency 3 declared by the federal government, a state of emergency 4 proclaimed by the Governor under Section 8625 of the Government 5 Code, or a local emergency proclaimed by a local governing body or official under Section 8630 of the Government Code. Before 6 7 beginning a study under this authority, the commission shall 8 provide notice to legislative leadership and any legislative policy 9 committee with jurisdiction over the proposed study topic and 10 shall consider any formal or informal feedback received in response to the notice; and be it further 11 Resolved, That before commencing work on any project within 12

13 the calendar of topics the Legislature has authorized or directed

14 the commission to study, the commission shall submit a detailed

15 description of the scope of work to the chairs and vice chairs of

16 the Assembly Committee on Judiciary and the Senate Committee

17 on Judiciary, and any other policy committee that has jurisdiction

18 over the subject matter of the study, and if during the course of

19 the project there is a major change to the scope of work, submit a

20 description of the change; and be it further

21 *Resolved*, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies

22 of this resolution to the California Law Revision Commission and

23 to the author for appropriate distribution.

Ο

99